

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/407,126 | 09/27/1999 | ROBERT W. BOSSEMEYER JR. | 8285/314 | 2323 |
| 757 7 | 590 09/24/2002 | | , | |
| BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611 | | | BORISSOV, IGOR N | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3629 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | _ | \wedge | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/407,126 | BOSSEMEYER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | Igor Borissov | 3629 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.5 | | | | | | |
| ,— | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | vii iroin consideration. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement | | | | | |
| Application Papers | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept | | miner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | <i>,</i> — <i>,</i> | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | | • , | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | | • | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | ı)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | | | | | |
| application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | ed. | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Ontice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| 2 Debath and Trademark Off | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 4-8, 10, 13-17 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alcott (US 6,324,273).

Alcott teaches a method and system for ordering a telecommunication service, comprising:

As per claims 1, 10 and 17,

- storing a first data structure which identifies a first party of a telecommunication network and a first telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party (column 2, lines 28-37; column 3, line 41 through column 4, line 4);

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/407,126

Art Unit: 3629

- after storing the first data structure, inputting availability data which indicates an availability of the first telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network which serves the first party (column 3, line 62 through column 4, line 4);

- processing the first data structure and the availability data to determine that the first telecommunication feature has become available to the first party (column 4, lines 15-25).

As per claims 4, 13 and 20, said method and system, comprising notifying the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available to the first party (column 5, lines 40-56).

As per claim 5, said method and system, comprising, prior to inputting the availability data: receiving a call from the first party; and informing, in the call, that the first telecommunication feature is unavailable to the first party (column 1, lines 11-33; column 3, line 41 through column 4, line 4).

As per claims 6-7, 14-15 and 21-22, said method and system, wherein the first telecommunication feature comprises a telecommunication service or product (column 1, lines 6-7; column 3, line 41 through column 4, line 4; column 4, lines 15-25).

As per claims 8, 16 and 23, said method and system, wherein the telecommunication network comprises a telephone network (column 1, line 62 through column 2, line 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

Art Unit: 3629

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3, 9, 11-12 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcott.

As per claims 2, 11 and 18, Alcott teaches to said method and system except that before inputting the availability data, storing a second data structure which identifies a second party of the telecommunication network and the first telecommunication feature unavailable to the second party.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Alcott to include any number of parties of said telecommunication network because it appears that the claimed features does not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Alcott would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with any number of parties.

As per claims 3, 12 and 19, Alcott teaches said method and system, comprising, before inputting the availability data, storing a second data structure which identifies a second party of the telecommunication network and a second telecommunication feature unavailable to the second party (column 2, lines 28-37; column 3, line 41 through column 4, line 4);

- processing the second data structure and the availability data to determine that the second telecommunication feature remains unavailable to the second party (column 4, lines 15-25).

As per claim 9, Alcott teaches said method and system, comprising:

Art Unit: 3629

- inputting availability data which indicates an availability of the first telecommunication

feature to a portion of the telecommunication network which serves the first party but not the

third party (column 3, line 62 through column 4, line 4);

- processing the first data structure, the second data structure, the third data structure, and

Page 5

the availability data to determine that the first telecommunication feature has become available

to the first party but remains unavailable to the third party (column 4, lines 15-20).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at

telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 3629

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6